UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,382	05/05/2006	Katsuyuki Totsu	275A 3842 PCT	1915
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP Koda/Androlia			EXAMINER	
			GRANT, ALVIN J	
10th Floor 865 S. Figueroa Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90007			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/578,382	TOTSU, KATSUYUKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ALVIN J. GRANT	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ma</u>	arch 2008				
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,9-12,14-16,18-20 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,9-12,14-16,18-20 and 23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	sted.				
· · · · ·	election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					
1 apor 110(0)/111ain Dato					

Application/Control Number: 10/578,382 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 9-12, 14-16, 18-20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borgeson 3,122,963 in view of Kaneko et al. 6,164,171.
- 3. Referring to claims 1 and 9-11, Borgeson discloses the claimed elements that include a screw head being provided with a bit engaging groove that is formed in a Y-shape divided into three equal parts having in a circumferential direction, the groove widths extending in a radial direction from the central portion; and the respective outer circumferential end wall surfaces are formed in a perpendicular attitude to a specified depth from an opening

edge part. Borgeson does not specifically disclose does not specifically disclose the bitengaging groove being formed so that the boundary portions are adjacent respective
planar sidewall surfaces that intersect at obtuse angles. Kaneko et al. teaches grooves
being formed so that the boundary portions are adjacent respective planar sidewall
surfaces that intersect at obtuse angles so as to maximize the contact surfaces between
the driver and the screw head. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill
in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the apparatus of Borgeson
et al. to have made the head of the screw of Borgeson to have grooves formed so that

the boundary portions are adjacent respective planar side wall surfaces that intersect at obtuse angles as taught by Kaneko et al. so as to maximize the contact surfaces between the driver and the screw head.

Referring to claims 12, 14-16, 18-20 and 23, Borgeson does not specifically disclose a screwdriver bit comprising vane parts being formed on the tip end blade part and have end edge parts. Kaneko et al. discloses a bit comprising vane parts being formed on the tip end blade part and have end edge parts so as to match the profile of the interior surface of the screw head. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the screwdriver of Borgeson to have a bit comprising vane parts being formed on the tip end blade part and have end edge parts as taught by Kaneko et al. so as to match the profile of the interior surface of the screw head.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9-12, 14-16, 18-20 and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALVIN J. GRANT whose telephone number is (571)272-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,382

Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

/Joseph J. Hail, III/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3723